

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,006	08/18/2003	Eric G. Lovett	GUID.060PA	2975	
51294 HOLLINGSW	7590 03/10/2010 ORTH & FUNK	EXAMINER			
8500 Normandale Lake Blvd			NGUYEN, HUONG Q		
SUITE 320 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55437		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	10, 1111 00 107		3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,006	LOVETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HELEN NGUYEN	3736	

	HELEN NGUYEN	3/36					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,135(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complex control of the control of the complex control of the contr</li></ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks have been considered but they are not persuasive. While Applicant contends that Young does not teach sensing a pectoral muscle, it is noted that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known in combining Verrier and Cho with Young to take the teaching of muscle atonia to indicate REM sleep and sense the muscle (or lack thereof) to subsequently incidate REM sleep. For example, Hendricks (US Pat No. 6387907) as used in InClaim 37 and 105 indicate such. It is also noted that Verrier already disclose sensors 12, 14 used to monitor movement (or lack thereof) to indicate various sleep stages. Thus, when taken in combination with Cho and Young, it is maintained that one of ordinary skill in the art would know sense the muscle atonia of the pectoral muscless, such as with an EMG sensor, as taught by Young to effectively indicate REM sleep. Therefore, it is respectfully maintained that the combination of at least Verrier, Cho, and Young make obvious the instant invention as claimed.